

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,130	02/22/2002		David Ernest Johnston	01-002	8493
29293	7590	11/28/2003		EXAM	INER
FREUDEN	BERG-N	OK GENERA	PATEL, VISHAL A		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. 47690 EAST ANCHOR COURT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PI VMOLITH MI 48170-2455				3676	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· V /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/081,130	JOHNSTON DAVID ERNEST
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	ArtUnit
	Vishal Patel	3676
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content	s have been received. s have been received in Applicating documents have been received. I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification of the certified application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the radial portion" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claim 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2, line 2, "a radial portion", this language is unclear. Is this the same radial portion as claimed in claim line 7?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-13 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Victor (US. 2,172,325).

Victor (figure 3) discloses a shaft seal assembly comprising:

a case (42) having axial and radial portions;

an elastomeric seal body (40) molded to the case;

Art Unit: 3676

a lip portion (lip 36) extending from the seal body, originating in a hinge portion (portion between the lip portion and the body 40); and

a liner (38) attached to the lip portion (38).

The radial portion having a length. The seal body includes a radial portion having a length less than the length of the radial portion of the case (the seal body 40 fits within the case).

The lip portion (36) extends over at least a portion of the length of the radial portion of the case (the lip 36 extends over at least a portion of the length of the radial portion near 42) and is integrally formed with the seal body and extends over the length of the radial portion of the case of which the radial portion of the seal body does not extend (figure 3). The liner (38) is bonded to the lip portion (see page 2, column 1, lines 54-56).

As to claims 6 and 7, a seal assembly including:

a case (42) having a radial portion;

a seal body (40) molded to case and not covering the entire radial portion of the case (figure 3, lip stops short of outer face 44);

a lip portion (36) extending from the seal body and covering the radial portion of the case not covered by the seal body;

a liner (38) attached to the lip portion;

The material of the lip portion adjacent the seal body and the radial portion of the case form a flexible hinge (member between 36 and 40 acts as a flexible hinge, see figures 2-3), since it is made of rubber and thinner cross-sectionally than the seal body.

As to claims 11-13, a seal assembly for use with a rotating element (50), comprising:

a case (42) having an axial portion and a radial portion;

Art Unit: 3676

a seal body (40) bonded to at least the radial portion of the case;

a hinge bonded (portion between 40 and lip 36) to the seal body adjacent to a terminating section of the radial portion of the case (hinge portion that is in contact with radial portion 42);

a lip (36) bonded to the hinge (the lip 36 connected to the hinge); and a liner attached to the lip (the lines 38 attached to the lip);

the seal body, the hinge, and the lip are integrally bonded together (the seal body, hinge and the lip are a single unit);

the seal body, the hinge, and the lip are formed from an elastomeric material (this is the case since the seal body, the hinge and the lip are formed of rubber);

the radial portion of the case has a length that is greater than a radial length of the seal body (the hinge portion does not contact the radial portion);

the hinge extends over the radial portion of the case that is not covered by the seal body; the liner is bonded to the lip;

the hinge receives more bending stresses than the liner (inherent since the hinge is the one that gets bent);

the lip receives more bending stresses than the liner (this is the function of the lip and the liner, since the liner hits the shaft and not the lip);

the liner is completely detached since it is made of fabric which does not bond to metallic casing, furthermore the liner can complete move with the lip portion about the hinge toward and away from the case (see figure 3).

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riesing (US. 2,804,325).

Art Unit: 3676

Riesing (figure 2) discloses a shaft seal assembly comprising:

a case (42) having axial and radial portions;

an elastomeric seal body (21) molded to the case;

a lip portion (34) extending from the seal body, originating in a hinge portion (hinge portion between the lip portion and the body 21, which flexes as a hinge see figures 2-3, where figure 3 shows a flex in the hinge portion); and

a liner (48) attached to the lip portion;

The radial portion having a length. The seal body includes a radial portion having a length less than the length of the radial portion of the case (the seal body 21 does not extend to the end of the case but stops short of the outer surface of the flange 44). The lip portion extends over at least a portion of the length of the radial portion of the case (the lip 34 extends over at least a portion of the length of the radial portion near 40).

The lip portion is integrally formed with the seal body and extends over the length of the radial portion of the case of which the radial portion of the seal body does not extend (figure 2). The lip portion includes hydrodynamic grooves (grooves 54, column 2, lines 44-53). The lip portion extends from the seal body and covers the radial portion of the case not covered by the seal body (figure 2). The liner (48) is formed from polytetrafluoroethylene. The liner includes a contact surface. The contact surface includes at least one hydrodynamic groove (54).

The hinge portion bonded to the seal body adjacent to a terminating section of the radial portion of the case (the hinge portion is connected to seal body 21 by 32). The lip bonded to the hinge (lip 34 is connected to the hinge portion at 30). The lip and hinge are integrally bonded together (figure 2). The liner is formed from polytetrafluoroethylene. The liner includes at least

Art Unit: 3676

one groove (groove 54). The radial portion of the case has a length that is greater than a radial length of the seal body (the radial portion has a length that the length of the seal body 21). The hinge extends over the radial portion of the case that is not covered by the seal body. The liner is bonded to the lip (lines 48 is connected to 34). The hinge receives more bending stresses than the liner (inherent since the hinge is the one that gets bent). The lip receives more bending stresses than the liner (this is the case since the liner hit the shaft and not the lip). Furthermore the liner is completely detached from the case, since Teflon or polytetraflurorethylene material is a non-stick material and cannot adhere or be attached directly to the metal casing 42. (For further information of Teflon material go to on Dupont's Internet site or material data sheet for Teflon).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not 7. persuasive.

Applicant arguments against Riesing and Victor are not persuasive since both Riesing and Victor disclose every feature of the claimed invention. Furthermore Riesing and Victor disclose that the liner is completely detached from the case (similar to applicants figure 1), this is the case since Riesing discloses that the liner is made of Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene which is a non-sticking material hence the liner would not adhere to the case so that the liner can move with the lip portion, similarly Victor discloses that the liner is made of cloth, which does not adhere to the case, hence the liner can move with the lip portion.

Further evidence is shown by Cather that a liner made from Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene does not adhere to a casing 10.

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Potter.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-8495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 PM to 4:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight, can be reached on (703) 309-3179.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Technology Center 3600 Customer Service is available at 703-308-1113. General Customer Service numbers are at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-9000. Fax Customer Service is available at 703-872-9325.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 3676

or faxed to: 703-872-9326, for formal communications for entry before Final action: or,

703-872-9327, for formal communications for entry after Final action.

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" and fax to: 703-746-3814.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Seventh Floor (Receptionist suite adjacent to the elevator lobby).

VP

November 12, 2003

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 3600